

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iii
1.0 PROPOSED PROJECT	1-1
1.1 Purpose and Need	1-1
1.2 Project Description	1-4
1.3 Alternatives.....	1-6
1.3.1 The “No Build” Alternative.....	1-6
1.3.2 Build Alternative	1-6
1.3.2.1 Build Alternative 3-Four Lane Facility (Proposed Project)	1-6
1.3.2.2 Ramp Variation	1-7
1.3.3 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Discussion.....	1-7
1.3.3.1 Build Alternative 1-Three Lane Facility (Eastbound Widening).....	1-7
1.3.3.2 Build Alternative 2-Three Lane Facility (Westbound Widening)	1-7
1.3.3.3 Ramp Variations.....	1-8
1.3.3.4 Traffic Systems Management.....	1-8
1.4 Permits and Approvals Needed	1-8
 2.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION AND/OR MITIGATION MEASURES	 2-1
2.1 Human Environment.....	2-2
2.1.1 Land Use.....	2-2
2.1.1.1 Existing and Future Land Use	2-2
2.1.1.2 Consistency with State, Regional and Local Plans	2-3
2.1.1.3 Parks and Recreation	2-10
2.1.1.4 Impacts	2-10
2.1.1.5 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-10
2.1.2 Growth.....	2-10
2.1.2.1 Regulatory Setting.....	2-10
2.1.2.2 Affected Environment	2-11
2.1.2.3 Impacts	2-11
2.1.2.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-12
2.1.3 Community Impacts	2-12
2.1.3.1 Regulatory Setting.....	2-12
2.1.3.2 Affected Environment	2-12
2.1.3.3 Impacts	2-17
2.1.3.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-17
2.1.4 Utilities/Emergency Services	2-17
2.1.4.1 Affected Environment	2-17
2.1.4.2 Impacts	2-18
2.1.4.3 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-18
2.1.5 Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities	2-18
2.1.5.1 Affected Environment	2-18
2.1.5.2 Impacts	2-19
2.1.5.3 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-23

2.1.6 Visual/Aesthetics	2-24
2.1.6.1 Regulatory Setting	2-24
2.1.6.2 Affected Environment	2-24
2.1.6.3 Impacts	2-30
2.1.6.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-33
2.2 Physical Environment	2-36
2.2.1 Hydrology and Floodplain and Water Quality	2-36
2.2.1.1 Regulatory Setting	2-36
2.2.1.2 Affected Environment	2-37
2.2.1.3 Impacts	2-37
2.2.1.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-38
2.2.2 Geology/Soils/Seismic/Topography	2-38
2.2.2.1 Regulatory Setting	2-38
2.2.2.2 Affected Environment	2-38
2.2.2.3 Impacts	2-41
2.2.2.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-41
2.2.3 Hazardous Waste/Materials	2-41
2.2.3.1 Regulatory Setting	2-41
2.2.3.2 Affected Environment	2-42
2.2.3.3 Impacts	2-45
2.2.3.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-45
2.2.4 Air Quality	2-46
2.2.4.1 Regulatory Setting	2-46
2.2.4.2 Affected Environment	2-48
2.2.4.3 Impacts	2-49
2.2.4.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-50
2.2.5 Noise and Vibration	2-50
2.2.5.1 Regulatory Setting	2-50
2.2.5.2 Affected Environment	2-51
2.2.5.3 Impacts	2-54
2.2.5.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	2-55
2.3 Biological Environment	2-57
2.3.1 Natural Communities	2-57
2.3.1.1 Monterey Pine Forest	2-61
2.3.2 Wetlands and Other Waters	2-64
2.3.2.1 Regulatory Setting	2-64
2.3.2.2 Survey Results for Wetlands	2-65
2.3.2.3 Impacts to Wetlands	2-66
2.3.2.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures for Wetlands	2-66
2.3.2.5 Other Waters of the United States	2-66
2.3.2.6 Impacts to Other Waters	2-66

2.3.2.7 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures for Other Waters.....	2-66
2.3.3 Animal Species.....	2-66
2.3.3.1 Regulatory Setting.....	2-66
2.3.3.2 Monterey Dusky-footed Woodrats.....	2-67
2.3.3.3 Nesting Birds.....	2-68
2.3.4 Invasive Species.....	2-69
2.3.4.1 Regulatory Setting.....	2-69
2.3.4.2 Survey Results.....	2-69
2.3.4.3 Impacts.....	2-69
2.3.4.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures.....	2-69
2.4 Construction Environment.....	2-69
2.4.1 Impacts.....	2-69
2.4.1.1 Utilities/Emergency Systems.....	2-69
2.4.1.2 Air Quality.....	2-70
2.4.1.3 Cultural Resources.....	2-70
2.4.1.4 Noise.....	2-70
2.4.1.5 Biological Resources.....	2-70
2.4.2 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures.....	2-71
2.4.2.1 Utilities/Emergency Systems.....	2-71
2.4.2.2 Air Quality.....	2-71
2.4.2.3 Cultural Resources.....	2-72
2.4.2.4 Noise.....	2-73
2.4.2.5 Biological Resources.....	2-73
2.5 Cumulative Environment.....	2-74
2.5.1 Regulatory Setting.....	2-74
2.5.2 Affected Environment.....	2-74
2.5.3 Impacts.....	2-75
2.5.3.1 No Project.....	2-75
2.5.3.2 Proposed Project.....	2-75
2.5.4 Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures.....	2-76
2.5.4.1 No Project.....	2-76
2.5.4.2 Proposed Project.....	2-76
3.0 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT EVALUATION.....	3-1
3.1 Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project.....	3-1
3.2 Unavoidable Significant Environmental Effects.....	3-3
3.3 Mitigation Measures for Significant Impacts Under CEQA.....	3-3
4.0 COMMENTS AND COORDINATION.....	4-1
4.1 Scope Processing.....	4-1
4.2 Consultation and Coordination with Public Agencies.....	4-1
4.3 Project Website.....	4-2
4.4 Public Participation.....	4-2
4.5 Public Comments and Response to Comments.....	4-3
4.5.1 Comments Received.....	4-3
4.5.2 Response to Comment 1: R. Merrill Jones.....	4-7

4.5.3	Response to Comment 2: Ron Chrislock.....	4-9
4.5.4	Response to Comment 3: Connie Perry.....	4-11
4.5.5	Response to Comment 4: George Matsumoto.....	4-13
4.5.6	Response to Comment 5: Warren Anderson.....	4-15
4.5.7	Response to Comment 6: Carl Nielson.....	4-17
4.5.8	Response to Comment 7: David Dilworth.....	4-19
4.5.9	Response to Comment 8: Robert Reid- City of Monterey.....	4-21
4.5.10	Response to Comment 9: Bill Pinkerton.....	4-23
4.5.11	Response to Comment 10: Alan Bienefeld.....	4-25
4.5.12	Response to Comment 11: Matthew Sundt.....	4-27
4.5.13	Response to Comment 12: Craig Anthony.....	4-34
4.5.14	Response to Comment 13: Tom Rowley.....	4-36
4.5.15	Response to Comment 14: David Dilworth.....	4-38
4.5.16	Response to Comment 15: Karla Cristi.....	4-41
4.5.17	Response to Comment 16: Two Letters from Mark Chaffey.....	4-47
4.5.18	Response to Comment 17: Rob and Sally Chopyk.....	4-49
4.5.19	Response to Comment 18: Mark Chaffey.....	4-52
4.5.20	Response to Comment 19: Jean Getchell-Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District.....	4-58
4.5.21	Response to Comment 20: Carl Holm-Monterey County.....	4-60
4.5.22	Response to Comment 21: Mary Archer-Monterey-Salinas Transit.....	4-65
4.5.23	Response to Comment 22: Leon Garden-City of Monterey Planning Commission.....	4-68
4.5.24	Response to Comment 23: Mark Stilwell-Pebble Beach Company.....	4-97
4.5.25	Response to Comment 24: Steve Monowitz-Central Coastal Commission.....	4-110
4.5.26	Response to Comment 25: David Cancer.....	4-128
4.5.27	Response to Comment 26: James Cullem-Skyline Traffic & Safety Committee.....	4-131
4.5.28	Responses to Comment 27 through 37: Public Comment during the Public Meeting November 30, 2006.....	4-137
5.0	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	5-1
5.1	Environmental Impact Report.....	5-1
5.2	Air Quality.....	5-1
5.3	Cultural Resources.....	5-2
5.4	Biological Resources.....	5-2
5.5	Noise.....	5-2
5.6	Initial Site Assessment.....	5-2
5.7	Visual Resources.....	5-3
6.0	DISTRIBUTION LIST.....	6-1
7.0	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	7-1
TABLES		
Table 1.	SR 68 (Holman Highway) Widening Project Summary of Project-Level Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	vi

Table 1-1.	Existing (2003) Intersection Delay and Level of Service	1-3
Table 1-2.	Accident History for State Route 68 and State Route 1	1-4
Table 2-1.	Community Development Projects in the City and County of Monterey	2-2
Table 2-2.	Local Plans and Policies.....	2-6
Table 2-3.	Population and Employment Growth.....	2-11
Table 2-4.	Census Data.....	2-13
Table 2-5.	Ethnicity	2-16
Table 2-6.	No Build Alternative- Year 2030 Intersection Delay and Level of Service- AM and PM Peak Hours.....	2-20
Table 2-7.	Build Alternative-Year 2030 Intersection Delay and Level of Service-AM And PM Peak Hours	2-21
Table 2-8.	Plans and Policies.....	2-25
Table 2-9.	Hazardous Material Sites	2-43
Table 2-10.	Air Monitoring Results	2-49
Table 2-11.	Noise Abatement Criteria.....	2-51
Table 2-12.	Predicted Existing (Year 2003) Traffic Noise Levels.....	2-54
Table 2-13.	Predicted Future (Year 2030) Traffic Noise Levels.....	2-57
Table 2-14.	Monterey Pine Forest Impacts.....	2-62
Table 3-1.	Summary of Significant Environmental Effects	3-2
Table 4-1.	Comments Received.....	4-4
Table 4-2.	Plans and Policies Pertaining to the Proposed Project	4-61
Table 4-3.	Year 2030 Intersection Delay and Level of Service	4-75

FIGURES

Figure 1-1.	Project Vicinity and Location	1-2
Figure 1-2.	General Project Features	1-5
Figure 1-3.	Geometrics of the Project	in sleeve, Appendix A
Figure 2-1.	Aerial View of SR 68 and Census Tract Boundaries	2-15
Figure 2-2.	Overall Visual Characteristics.....	2-29
Figure 2-3.	View to the East from the Intersection of SR 68/SR 1 Southbound Ramps with Construction of the Project	2-31
Figure 2-4.	Regional Faults.....	2-40
Figure 2-5.	Noise Receiver Locations.....	2-53
Figure 2-6a.	Plant Communities Within the SR 68 Widening Area (Sheet 1 of 3).....	2-58
Figure 2-6b.	Plant Communities Within the SR 68 Widening Area (Sheet 2 of 3).....	2-59
Figure 2-6c.	Plant Communities Within the SR 68 Widening Area (Sheet 3 of 3).....	2-60
Figure 4-1.	Bicycle Routes in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project	4-28
Figure 4-2.	Bicycle Routes within the Proposed Project Area	4-29
Figure 4-3a.	Bicycle Lanes within the Project Area	4-30
Figure 4-3b.	Bicycle Lanes within the Project Area.....	4-31
Figure 4-3c.	Bicycle Lanes within the Project Area.....	4-32
Figure 4-4.	Bus Stop Relocation	4-66
Figure 4-5.	Retaining Wall along North Side of State Route 68 near Beverly Manor	4-69
Figure 4-6.	Typical Retaining Wall Section	4-70
Figure 4-7.	Existing and Proposed Visual Conditions. State Route 68 View to the East from the Scenic Drive Bridge	4-71
Figure 4-8.	Existing and Proposed Visual Conditions. State Route 68 View to the	

	West From the State Route 68/State Route 1 Interchange.....	4-72
Figure 4-9.	Project Map for Alternative 3 with Ramp Variations 1 and 3	4-74
Figure 4-10.	Existing and Proposed Visual Conditions under Alternative 3 with Ramp Variations 1 and 3. State Route 1 Southbound On-Ramp, View to the South	4-77
Figure 4-11.	Existing and Proposed Visual Conditions under Alternative 3 with Ramp Variations 1 and 3. State Route 1 Southbound at State Route 68 Overcrossing, View to the South	4-78
Figure 4-12.	Alternative Emergency Vehicle Access to CHOMP.....	4-81
Figure 4-13.	Planning Area Boundaries for the Proposed Project.....	4-111
Figure 4-14a.	Typical Cross Section.....	4-114
Figure 4-14b.	Typical Cross Section	4-115
Figure 4-15.	Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas (ESHA) as Defined by the Del Monte Forest Land Use Plan.....	4-118

APPENDICES

- Appendix A. Project Map (in sleeve)
- Appendix B. Technical Studies
- Appendix C. Title VI Policy Statement
- Appendix D. Special Status Species
- Appendix E. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Checklist
- Appendix F. Notice of Preparation (NOP) and Public Comments
- Appendix G. List of Acronyms
- Appendix H. Iris Canyon Monterey Pine Tree Mitigation Sites